TARCOM HEARING

APPEAL FROM MERIT SYSTEM

PROTECTION BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:

LEROY J. PLETTEN,

Appellant,

-vs-

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY,

Appellee.

10

2

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

..

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Transcript of the deposition of JOHN

DOLLBERG, a witness in the above-entitled cause, taken before

Norma J. Yeager, Notary Public in and for the County of Oakland,

State of Michigan, at 3000 Town Center, Suite 1150, Southfield,

Michigan 48075, on Monday, April 26, 1982, commencing at or about

the hour of 3:20 p.m.

APPEARANCES:

COOPER & COHEN, 3000 Town Center, Suite 1150, Southfield, Michigan 48075, Appearing on behalf of the Appellant. BY: STEVEN Z. COHEN, ESQ.

EMILY SEVALD BACON, ESQ., United States Army Tank-Automotive Command, Detroit Arsenal, Warren, Michigan 48093, Appearing on behalf of the Appellee.

ALSO PRESENT:

Leroy J. Pletten, Appellant

2

= 38

Southfield, Michigan
Monday, April 26, 1982
3:20 p.m.

JOHN DOLLBERG.

having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on his oath as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MS. BACON:

2

3

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

- Q State your name for the record, please.
- 10 A John Dollberg.
 - Q What is your position?
- 12 A Safety Engineer at Army Tank-Automotive Command.
 - Q How long have you held that position?
 - A I have been at the Tank-Automotive Command since March of 1977.
 - Q What are your responsibilities and duties in that position?
 - As Safety Engineer we are responsible for safety and health of the work force at our location, and being an engineer, I also get involved in safety of equipment, the design of vehicles used by the Army, and construction materials, handling of equipment. I am involved as alternate radiation protection officer for the Command. There are several divisions we have in the field that contain radioactive material and there are certain training requirements for that.

- Q What kind of education and training do you have in your background?
- A My undergraduate degree, I received a Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering from Valparaiso University, and I completed a Master's of Science and Industrial Engineering from Wayne State University. After I obtained my Bachelor of Science degree, I interviewed with the Army and I agreed to become a member of TARCOM Safety and Engineering program at Red River Army Depot and I was there July '75 to December '76, receiving training, and then I spent from December '76 until March '77 at the field safety activity in Charlestown, Indiana before being placed here.
 - Q What kind of qualifications are needed for your position?
 - Well, to initially get in the intern program, you needed an engineering degree, or engineering science degree and pretty much you need to have a broad spectrum of safety programs and their standards set up by the Office of Personnel Management as to minor requirements of various safety classes and safety responsibilities you need to have.
 - I would direct you to the Agency's response in Tab 4 and ask you if you can identify certain documents. Can you identify that document, please?
- A Yes.

Q Is that an air content --

MS. BACON: Let the record show, please,

- MFR dated October 8, 1980.
- Q (By Ms. Bacon) Did you conduct the test reflected in that particular memo?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q Can you identify this document?
- 6 A Yes.

5

9

- MS. BACON: Let the record show these memoranda for the record, dated 6 February 81.
 - Q (By Ms. Bacon) Are those -- is that MFR reflective of a study you conducted?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Now, what equipment did you use in conducting those studies?
- 13 A The main instrument is a Draeger Model 31 Service Meter and it is used to detect contaminants in the air.
- 15 Q How sensitive is this equipment?
- 16 A It depends on the contaminator substance you are testing for.
- For the compound we tested for, the levels indicated were the
- lowest levels the instrument will read.
- Q Do you do a check on accuracy in connection with your testing with this equipment?
- 21 A Yes. We make sure the equipment is operating properly before
 22 and after we make the test.
- 23 Q How many different contaminants can you test?
- 24 A There is hundreds that you can test for. It depends.
- 25 Q I noted the studies reflected that you were testing basically

A Right.

- Q Where were those four particular contaminants tested?
- A Typically these are compounds that are present as by-products of some type of burning, whether smoking or any other type of air pollution.
- Q What were the results of your testing as reflected on these reports?
- A As we indicated, the results were less than certain parts of a million as indicated here, and these levels are below OSHA standards.
- Q You were using OSHA standards in conducting these tests?
- A Yes.
 - Q If you are testing, hypothetically, if you are testing and everything indicated that it was perhaps close to threshold limits under OSHA, what would the procedure be?
 - Well, we would probably test again to make sure that we didn't make a mistake in doing our testing, and we would probably test it at another time of day, in the morning and afternoon, so we would probably collect at another time as well.
- Q Do you have access to any more sophisticated equipment than this Draeger model?
- A This is the instrument that we use. If we needed more sophisticated equipment, we can request it.

Q (By Mr. Cohen) Let's assume for argument's sake. Are you familiar with any other smoking-related complaints?

has been established.

23

24

- A This is the main one I have worked on. I believe there was some others.
- Q Within your office?
- A I believe so.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

23

24

- Q If that is the case and you have other smoking-related complaints and results are coming back negative, how do you resolve the apparent difference between the complaints and the fact you don't have any results?
 - Well, smoking is what I consider a type of nuisance condition and it may cause problems for some people, or they may feel it is affecting them, but we never found anything that exceeded the standards that we must comply with.
- Q What standards are those?
- A The standards established in the Occupational Safety and Health Agency.
- 16 Q Do you also have to look at Department of Army regulations?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Did it comply with Department of Army Regulation 1-8?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 0 How so?
- 21 A 1-8 addresses the amount of air changes per hour.
 - But doesn't AR 1-8 also recognize that while individuals have a right to smoke, they may only smoke, "Provided such action does not endanger life or property, cause discomfort or unreasonable annoyance to non-smokers, or infringe upon their

A Correct.

3

4

5

Well, if people are complaining, most particularly

Mr. Pletten, and he says he is discomforted and it annoys

him, then the people seemingly, by this AR, have lost their

rights to smoke, isn't that true?

6

A May I have the question again?

problem, why am I wrong?

8

The AR gives an unqualified right to smokers to smoke. It says you may smoke, we recognize your right to smoke provided it does not discomfort or unreasonably annoy?

10

11

9

MS. BACON: Is that your reading of the AR?

(By Mr. Cohen) It is my construction and I invite you to

13

12

differ with it, if you do, but Mr. Pletten made a complaint;

14

you say it complies with the regulations and I am saying

15

there is one that it does not comply with, so what is the

16

17

A Again this is subject to interpretation because it does not

18

specifically spell out what levels of contamination they

19

consider to be discomforting or hazardous. The only

20

standards we have to go by are the ones under OSHA.

21

So the OSHA requirement, and part of this regulation that

22

speaks to 10 cubic feet of air in circulation, are the only

23

Correct.

24

25

Q The issue of Mr. Pletten's subjective problem never was

ones you are able to interpolate, is that correct?

we had to comply with.

Α

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Q But you dealt with the standards and regulations as you understood you had to comply with them, correct?

Α Correct.

From my reading, and my argument to you just now, was it Q your responsibility to review Mr. Pletten's subjective problems? Do you think you had a responsibility to look into those?

We dealt with the actual facts and acted on the standards

Again I am not a medical expert. That is why we contacted Mr. Braun to have the industrial hygienist survey the areas.

It does not take a medical expert to ask a man if he is in Q discomfort or annoyed. I am not saying you breached your duty by any means, but I am saying it is your job to look at that part of the regulation as you understand it.

To comply with the regulations for the Command. Α

What about this part, did you investigate that and make any finding with regard to his discomfort?

That is what we were performing the survey for. A

Q Did you make any surveys with Mr. Pletten to see if he was discomforted?

What do you mean?

Did you talk to Mr. Pletten and say, "Are you discomforted around cigarette smoke?"

No. 2 Did you talk to Mr. Pletten and ask him if he was annoyed 3 by cigarette smoke? 4 No. 5 Before today, did you ever meet Mr. Pletten? 6 A No. 7 This gentleman here is Mr. Pletten, Mr. Dollberg. 8 Now, Mr. Shirock is your boss. 9 Mr. Shirock ever suggest how you review this case in terms 10 of regulations? 11 He sent me to sample for contaminants. 12 He didn't send you to talk to Leroy Pletten? 13 At the time I was there, he was not in the office. 14 You were not directed to talk to Leroy? 15 Α No. 16 From -- I don't mean to be argumentative, but from the stand-17 point that that portion, that general portion of the AR was 18 overlooked, do you still feel you have complied, that the activities that you performed made full evaluation of 19 20 compliance with the regulations? 21 Yes, I believe we made full evaluations. Α 22 As to the scientific facts, or including these human factors? The scientific facts was my side. 23

10

In terms of whether there were contaminants exceeding the

You didn't make any view into the human factor?

24

25

Α

1

- In terms of subjective analysis?
- 3
- No, that is not really my responsibility.
- 4
- If it was to be found later -- let's go on. Have you any familiarity with the January 25, 1980 USACARA report involving Mr. Pletten?
- 5 6
- Α No.
- 8

7

You were never given that to read at all?

how you are to interpret that?

- 9
 - No.
- 10
- I am going to quote from Page 12, although it has been 11 pointed out by my learned opponent, this is the writing of a
- 12
- higher official, I would like to read to you from it.
- 13
- says, "Thus it is clear that the rights of the smoker exists

only insofar as discomfort or unreasonable annoyance is not

hearing officer of USACARA on AR 1-8. In your work, do you

get any guidance from Mr. Shirock, or any other person, as

Again, he wanted us to sample for contaminants in the air.

Were you the technician just going out there and getting

Taking readings and determining if there was a problem and

Was Mr. Shirock going to look into the subjective aspect of

samples and doing the scientific aspect of it?

any conclusions based on the readings.

caused to non-smokers." That was the interpretation of a

- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22

Α

Q

it?

- 23
- 24
- 25

25

number.

If I were to suggest 250,000 square feet for all the floors?

in your sketches?

Yes, I would say that could be reasonable.

3

4

Now, that being the case, would two areas be representative of the entire building, or only the areas you have outlined

5

6

Representative of that portion of the building that is on the Α same ventilating system.

7

How many ventilating systems in Building 230?

9

8

To my knowledge, there is three.

10

11

If I were to tell you Mr. Braun testified this morning there are, in fact, six, would that be a surprise to you?

12

Α I thought there were three.

13

Would that have affected you if you had known there were six ventilating systems? Would you have taken six samples?

15

14

Not necessarily. We were just getting sampling in typical office areas inside the building.

16 17

What time of day were these taken?

18

1350 hours.

19

That would be almost 4:00?

20

Α Almost 2:00.

21

I'm sorry. Military time is 10 minus two?

22

1415 would be 2:15; 1430 would be 2:30 and 1630 would be 4:30.

23

24

Are those the times most people are there?

25

I believe so. It was early afternoon before people started

Average two times a week, I would say. Α

months, for example?

21

24

- A I feel it is adequate.
- Q Is it warm in there during the summer?
- A Normally.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

- Q Are there a lot of fans in the rooms?
- A Some, yes.
- 10 Q Is that because the air does not move around or because of lack of air conditioning, or both?
- 12 A They are just to help add some more cooling effect.
 - Q Is it your position that Building 230 complies with the 10 cubic feet of air flow required by AR·1-8?
 - A Yes.
- 16 Q How do you know that is true?
- 17 A They sent studies of Mr. Braun.
 - Q Mr. Braun testified this morning that although it generally complies, he says in Building 230, due to the six different ventilation systems he only estimates compliance with AR 1-8 at between 70 and 90 percent of the time; it varies. Are you aware of that?
- 23 A He never mentioned that to me.
- Q Why do you set temperatures when you are doing the studies?
 - A We want to make certain with the instruments we use, so as

- Q Is it safe then to say, based on my earlier questions about the number of areas tested, there are some parts of Building 230 that may have exceeded requirements for clean air or may have been less or may have been the same as the part where you did test?
- A I feel it would be the same. I tested other areas at different times.
- Q But you can't be sure, based on these tests, can you?
- A We take samples at different times.
- Q But you said in earlier testimony that you tested in two areas of Building 230 and it is only representative of the areas you tested, not of the entire building, is that true?
- A In that ventilating system.
- Q And you testified you only know of three, and I told you

 Mr. Braun identified six ventilating systems, is that true?
- 22 A I said there were three, yes.
 - Q Does that leave doubt in your mind as to condition A in that part of the building, would this study still stand in your mind as representative of Building 230 on that date?

- A Yes.
- Q Even with the discrepancies I pointed out to you?
- A Yes.

3

5

7

8

10/

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Why?
- A We tested other portions of the building at different times and found similar results.
- Q Why weren't those included in that air contaminants study?
- At the time we tried to select a couple of typical locations on this side of the building to test, so we selected one upstairs and one downstairs.
- Q What was the wind velocity that day?
- A I don't know.
- Q Would that be a consideration in testing air samples?
- 4 A You mean ventilation, wind --
 - Q Let me indicate to you Mr. Braun testified, as Mr. Lang testified, that there is a percentage of air that comes in through cracks in the building and in the opening and closing of doors, and that varies, he says. So: what kind of wind was there that day?
 - A I don't remember.
 - Q Would you consider that a factor to be considered in the future in these tests?
 - A I don't think so because we were sampling office areas, not in hallways.
 - Q Hallways are draftier, I presume?

- A With partitions or whatever, yes.
- Q You don't think wind outside of the building would have any effect at all, or have little effect?
- A I would say little effect.
- Q Why the four determinants, why these four: Carbon monoxide, hydrocyanic acid, sulfur dioxide and nitrous oxide, why only those four?
- A These are typically the major components of any burning process.
- Q What about other contaminants, what other types of contaminants do you test for?
- A Depending on the operation, we would test for, like for example, if it was a welding operation, we would test more for lead, chrome and nickel, things like that.
- You signed with Mr. Peters a letter of February 6, a memo for the record. In it you indicated you looked at two rooms in Building 230 and the parking lot and obtained samples.

 Now, I asked Mr. Peters what were the major products of smoking. He was unable to state what the four major products of smoking were. He directed me to you. When I asked him about the February 6 letter that he signed, that there were these four major contaminants, he said he had to change, he had to qualify that to say what the four major products were.

- A I said our major byproducts of smoking.
- Q Do you know what the major byproducts of cigarette smoking are?
 - A For the most part, carbon monoxide, because of the burning process, and also traces of sulfur and noxious gases, and also cyanic acid because of the free carbon.
- Q Anything else?

- A We felt they were the major ones.
 - Obviously he didn't because Mr. Peters testified he would not have said that. He said that, if I remember correctly, they didn't know what all the major products were. Did you investigate what the major byproducts were, did you ask the Lung Association or anybody else?
 - A We had seen some literature and again -- we had read some of the literature and I felt, I read that these were some of them and Mr. Shirock agreed we would test for these.
 - I am very impressed with the temperature figures in Room lll-W showing a temperature of 80 degrees, 80 degrees. In Room ll6 the temperature was 78 degrees and out in the parking lot it was 18 degrees. Why was it set up so hot in the buildings, Building 230 particularly?
 - A Again, probably problems with the ventilation system.
 - Q Well, if they had problems with the ventilation system, are you sure they were in compliance with AR 1-8?

- A Again, they probably had problems with the ventilating system, but it was still ventilating.
- Q If you recall a president in the past, he said dial down to 68. Did he have trouble with the temperature setting?

MS. BACON: I will object. He probably would not have a problem with a temperature setting.

- Q (By Mr. Cohen) How do you define the definition of the term significant? You say survey results indicates no significant amount of air contaminants. What is significant?
- A Ones that are at the estimated average or above.
- 11 Q Estimated average?
- 12 A Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

- 13 Q What are those?
- 14 A The standards established by OSHA for the particular contami-
- Mr. Peters testified that when he said no significant amount,
 that meant it had not registered on the calibrations. Is
 that what you mean, on your little tubes?
 - A Right. It was not registering on there, but you asked me my definition of significant and I said something at the estimated average or above.
- Q Were any of them near the estimated averages established by OSHA?
- 24 | A No.
- 25 Q Now, once again they were taken outside of two rooms in

Inside two rooms.

3

They were taken next to smokers?

4

We were taking representative samples, but I don't remember.

5

How many people in a square -- 10-foot square area where you

took the samples?

Α

Α

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, I didn't record that.

O So it could have been there was one person in the area or 15 or 20 people and if there were 10 or 20 people smoking, you would have more smoke to deal with than just one, isn't that logical to presume?

There may have been smoke in the air, if that is what you mean.

It may have registered, Mr. Dollberg, if you had taken it Q where there were few people?

I don't know. It depends on the test.

I am going to take one last run at this. The is the last part of my questioning.

You are responsible for Army regulations and OSHA regulations?

Yes.

If you don't take into consideration the subjective human portion of AR 1-8, who orders compliance? Mr. Shirock's responsibility?

It would be more his.

Are you familiar with the fact that several employees have filed claims stemming from smoking-related conditions? I don't know what you mean by claim. You mentioned you were aware of other claims in the office. How many are you aware of? I knew of one other. A Who was that involving, please? I don't know the individual's name, but it is in a different building than Building 230. MR. COHEN: All right. No further questions. MS. BACON: Nothing further. (3:55 p.m.)

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

SS

COUNTY OF OAKLAND)

deposition was taken before me at the time and place hereinbefore set forth; that the witness was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth; that the foregoing questions and answers were duly recorded by me in stenotype and later reduced to typewritten form under my supervision; and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcription of my stenotype notes.

the above county and state, do hereby certify that the foregoing

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal at Southfield, Michigan this 10 th day of May 1982.

Norma J. Yeager (CSR0015)

Notary Public

Oakland County, Michigan

My Commission Expires: 7-19-83

I, Norma J. Yeager, Notary Public in and for